arXiv:0707.4029v1 [math.QA] 27 Jul 2007

TETRAHEDRON EQUATIONS AND NILPOTENT SUBALGEBRAS OF $\mathscr{U}_q(sl_n)$.

S. M. SERGEEV

Abstract. A relation between q-oscillator R-matrix of the tetrahedron equation and decompositions of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type bases for nilpotent subalgebras $\mathscr{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}_+) \subset \mathscr{U}_q(sl_n)$ is observed.

The method of q-oscillator three-dimensional auxiliary problem, derivation of three-dimensional R-matrix for the Fock space representation of the algebra of observables, various q-oscillator tetrahedron equations and details of $3D \to 2D$ dimension-rank transmutation may be found in [1]. In the first part of this letter I remind the definition of q-oscillator R-matrix of the tetrahedron equation. Surprisingly, this R-matrix may be derived in a completely different framework. In the second part of this letter it is shown that matrix elements of q-oscillator R-matrix relate two certain Poinkaré-Birkhoff-Witt type bases of the nilpotent (positive roots) subalgebra $\mathscr{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}_+) \subset \mathscr{U}_q(sl_3)$. The tetrahedron equation in this framework follows from the completeness and irreducibility of Poinkaré-Birkhoff-Witt type bases of the nilpotent subalgebra of $\mathscr{U}_q(sl_4)$.

Q-oscillator R-matrix. Let elements elements $\boldsymbol{a}^+, \boldsymbol{a}^-$ and $q^{\boldsymbol{N}}$ generate the q-oscillator algebra $\mathscr{A}$:

$$\boldsymbol{a}^+\boldsymbol{a}^- = 1 - q^{2\boldsymbol{N}}\ , \quad \boldsymbol{a}^-\boldsymbol{a}^+ = 1 - q^{2\boldsymbol{N}+2}\ , \quad q^{\boldsymbol{N}}\boldsymbol{a}^\pm = \boldsymbol{a}^\pm q^{\boldsymbol{N}\pm 1}\ . \tag{1}$$

There is a special automorphism $x \to \mathtt{r}_{123} \cdot x \cdot \mathtt{r}_{123}^{-1}$ of $\mathscr{A}^{\otimes 3}$ defined by

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} \mathtt{r}_{123} \cdot q^{\boldsymbol{N}_2}\boldsymbol{a}_1^\pm &= (q^{\boldsymbol{N}_3}\boldsymbol{a}_1^\pm + q^{\boldsymbol{N}_1}\boldsymbol{a}_2^\pm\boldsymbol{a}_3^\mp) \cdot \mathtt{r}_{123}, \\ \mathtt{r}_{123} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_2^\pm &= (\boldsymbol{a}_1^\pm\boldsymbol{a}_3^\pm - q^{1+\boldsymbol{N}_1+\boldsymbol{N}_3}\boldsymbol{a}_2^\pm) \cdot \mathtt{r}_{123}, \\ \mathtt{r}_{123} \cdot q^{\boldsymbol{N}_2}\boldsymbol{a}_3^\pm &= (q^{\boldsymbol{N}_1}\boldsymbol{a}_3^\pm + q^{\boldsymbol{N}_3}\boldsymbol{a}_1^\mp\boldsymbol{a}_2^\pm) \cdot \mathtt{r}_{123}. \end{aligned}\right. \tag{2}$$

Here the indices of q-oscillator generators stand for the components of the tensor product $\mathscr{A}^{\otimes 3}$. In the Fock space (non-hermitian) representation

$$\boldsymbol{a}^-|0\rangle \;=\; 0\ , \quad |n\rangle \;=\; \boldsymbol{a}^{+n}|0\rangle\ , \quad q^{\boldsymbol{N}}|n\rangle \;=\; |n\rangle q^n\ , \quad \langle m|n\rangle = \delta_{n,m} \tag{3}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37,81R50.

the matrix elements of Eqs. (2) provide a set of recursion equations for the matrix elements of $\mathtt{r}_{123}$ with the unique solution

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle m_1,m_2,m_3|\mathtt{r}|n_1,n_2,n_3\rangle \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathtt{r}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}^{n_1,\,n_2,\,n_3} = \\ &\delta_{m_1+m_2,n_1+n_2}\delta_{m_2+m_3,n_2+n_3}\frac{q^{(m_1-n_2)(m_3-n_2)}}{(q^2;q^2)_{m_2}}P_{m_2}(q^{2n_1},q^{2n_2},q^{2n_3})\;,\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $P_m(x,y,z)$ is defined recursively by

$$P_{m+1}(x,y,z)=(1-x)(1-z)P_m(\frac{x}{q^2},y,\frac{z}{q^2})-\frac{xz}{q^{2m}}(1-y)P_m(x,\frac{y}{q^2},z)\;,\quad P_0(x,y,z)=1\;. \tag{5}$$

Pohgammer's symbol is defined as usual, $(q^2;q^2)_n = (1-q^2)(1-q^4)\cdots(1-q^{2n})$. Coefficients $P_m(q^{2n_1},q^{2n_2},q^{2n_3})$ may be expressed in terms of q-hypergeometric function ${}_2\varphi_1$. Matrix $\mathtt{r}_{123}$ is a square root of unity, $\mathtt{r}_{123}^2=\mathbb{1}$. Note the symmetry property,

$$P_{m_2}(q^{2n_1},q^{2n_2},q^{2n_3})=\frac{(q^2;q^2)_{n_1}(q^2;q^2)_{n_3}}{(q^2;q^2)_{m_1}(q^2;q^2)_{m_3}}P_{n_2}(q^{2m_1},q^{2m_2},q^{2m_3})\;, \tag{6}$$

where $m_1+m_2=n_1+n_2$ and $m_2+m_3=n_2+n_3$.

Spectral parameters may be introduced as follows:

$$R_{123} \;=\; \left(-\frac{\lambda_1\mu_3}{q}\right)^{\boldsymbol{N}_2}\mathtt{r}_{123}\left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}\right)^{\boldsymbol{N}_1}\left(\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}\right)^{\boldsymbol{N}_3}\;, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbb{C}$-valued parameters λ_j,μ_j are associated with jth component of a tensor power of $\mathscr{A}$. Matrices R_{ijk} satisfy the tetrahedron equation in $\mathscr{A}^{\otimes 6}$,

$$R_{123}R_{145}R_{246}R_{356}=R_{356}R_{246}R_{145}R_{123}\;. \tag{8}$$

Due to the δ-symbols structure of (4), the spectral parameters may be removed from the tetrahedron equation, Eq. (8) is equivalent to the constant tetrahedron equation. However, the constant $\mathtt{r}$-matrix provides spectral parameter dependent solutions for the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{s_1,s_2}(u)R_{s_1,s_3}(uv)R_{s_2,s_3}(v)=R_{s_2,s_3}(v)R_{s_1,s_3}(uv)R_{s_1,s_2}(u)\;. \tag{9}$$

For instance, in the lowest rank case of affine $\mathscr{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$, matrix elements of two-dimensional R-matrix of the Yang-Baxter equation in spin s_1 × spin s_2 evaluation representations are given by

$$\langle m_1,m_2|R_{s_1,s_2}(u)|n_1,n_2\rangle \;=\; \sum_{n_3,m_3=0}^{\infty} u^{m_3}\mathtt{r}_{s_1+m_1,s_2+m_2,m_3}^{s_1+n_1,\,s_2+n_2,\,n_3}\mathtt{r}_{s_1-m_1,s_2-m_2,\,n_3}^{s_1-n_1,\,s_2-n_2,m_3}\;, \tag{10}$$

where $m_j,n_j\in\{-s_j,-s_j+1,\cdots,s_j-1,s_j\}$, and the sum converges if $|q|<1$ and $|u|<1$. In particular, formula (10) gives the six-vertex R-matrix for $s_1=s_2=1/2$.

Nilpotent subalgebras. Let $\mathscr{B}_n = \mathscr{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ be the nilpotent subalgebra of $\mathscr{U}_q(sl_{n+1}) = \mathscr{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes \mathscr{U}_q(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathscr{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}_+)$. It is generated by elements $\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_n$ satisfying q-Serre relations:

$$(11) \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_i^2 \boldsymbol{e}_{i\pm 1} + \boldsymbol{e}_{i\pm 1}\boldsymbol{e}_i^2 \;=\; (q^{-1}+q)\boldsymbol{e}_i\boldsymbol{e}_{i\pm 1}\boldsymbol{e}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{e}_i\boldsymbol{e}_j = \boldsymbol{e}_j\boldsymbol{e}_i \quad \text{if} \quad |i-j|>1\;.$$

Consider for a moment $\mathscr{B}_2$ and define

$$(12) \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_{12} = \frac{\boldsymbol{e}_1\boldsymbol{e}_2 - q\boldsymbol{e}_2\boldsymbol{e}_1}{q^{-1}-q} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{12} = \frac{\boldsymbol{e}_2\boldsymbol{e}_1 - q\boldsymbol{e}_1\boldsymbol{e}_2}{q^{-1}-q}\;.$$

It is well known [2], there are many ways to define a Poinaré-Birkhoff-Witt type basis in $\mathscr{B}_n$. For instance, two following bases of $\mathscr{B}_2$,

$$(13) \qquad \left\{\boldsymbol{e}_2^{n_3}\boldsymbol{e}_{12}^{n_2}\boldsymbol{e}_1^{n_1},\;\; n_j \geq 0\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{\boldsymbol{e}_1^{m_1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{12}^{m_2}\boldsymbol{e}_2^{m_3},\;\; m_j \geq 0\right\},$$

are complete, irreducible and therefore equivalent. The equivalence of the bases is given by the decomposition

$$(14) \qquad \frac{\boldsymbol{e}_1^{m_1}}{[m_1]!}\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{12}^{m_2}}{[m_2]!}\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_2^{m_3}}{[m_3]!} \;=\; \sum_{\{n\}} \mathtt{r}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}^{\,n_1,\,n_2,\,n_3}\,\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_2^{n_3}}{[n_3]!}\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_{12}^{n_2}}{[n_2]!}\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_1^{n_1}}{[n_1]!}$$

where we use for shortness

$$(15) \qquad [n] = q^{-n} - q^n\;, \quad [n]! \;=\; [1][2]\cdots[n] \;=\; q^{-n(n+1)/2}(q^2,q^2)_n\;.$$

Theorem 1. *The coefficients* $\mathtt{r}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}^{\,n_1,\,n_2,\,n_3}$ *in (14) are given exactly by the formula (4).*

Sketch proof is the following. Coefficients $\mathtt{r}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}^{\,n_1,\,n_2,\,n_3}$ in (14) satisfy a set of recursion relations following from the structure of bases. For instance, a simple identity following from (11)

$$(16) \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_1^{m_1+1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{12}^{m_2}\boldsymbol{e}_2^{m_3} \;=\; q^{m_2}[m_3]\boldsymbol{e}_1^{m_1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{12}^{m_2}\boldsymbol{e}_2^{m_3-1}\boldsymbol{e}_{12} + q^{m_2+m_3}\boldsymbol{e}_1^{m_1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{12}^{m_2}\boldsymbol{e}_2^{m_3}\boldsymbol{e}_1$$

provides

$$(17) \qquad (1-q^{2m_1+2})\mathtt{r}_{m_1+1,m_2,m_3}^{\,n_1,\,n_2,\,n_3} \;=\; \mathtt{r}_{m_1,m_2,m_3-1}^{\,n_1,\,n_2-1,\,n_3}(1-q^{2n_2}) + q^{m_3}\mathtt{r}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}^{\,n_1-1,\,n_2,\,n_3}q^{n_2}(1-q^{2n_1})\;.$$

In terms of q-oscillators in the basis (3) it corresponds to

$$(18) \qquad \boldsymbol{a}_2^-\mathtt{r}_{123} = \boldsymbol{a}_3^+\mathtt{r}_{123}\boldsymbol{a}_2^- + q^{\boldsymbol{N}_3}\mathtt{r}_{123}q^{\boldsymbol{N}_2}\boldsymbol{a}_1^-\;,$$

what is simple consequence of (2). The complete collection of all such recursion relations for (14) is equivalent to the system (2). ■

Consider next $\mathscr{B}_3$ and equivalence of the complete irreducible bases

$$(19) \qquad \left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_3^{n_6}\boldsymbol{e}_{23}^{n_5}\boldsymbol{e}_{123}^{n_4}\boldsymbol{e}_2^{n_3}\boldsymbol{e}_{12}^{n_2}\boldsymbol{e}_1^{n_1}}{[n_6]![n_5]!\cdots[n_1]!}\;,\;\; n_j \geq 0\right\},$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{12}=\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_1\boldsymbol{e}_2-q\boldsymbol{e}_2\boldsymbol{e}_1}{[1]}\;,\quad \boldsymbol{e}_{23}=\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_2\boldsymbol{e}_3-q\boldsymbol{e}_3\boldsymbol{e}_2}{[1]}\;,\quad \boldsymbol{e}_{123}=\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_1\boldsymbol{e}_{23}-q\boldsymbol{e}_{23}\boldsymbol{e}_1}{[1]}\;, \tag{20}$$

and

$$\left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_1^{m_1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{12}^{m_2}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{123}^{m_4}\boldsymbol{e}_2^{m_3}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{23}^{m_5}\boldsymbol{e}_3^{m_6}}{[m_1]![m_2]!\cdots[m_6]!}\;,\;\; m_j\geq 0\right\}\;, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{12}=\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_2\boldsymbol{e}_1-q\boldsymbol{e}_1\boldsymbol{e}_2}{[1]}\;,\quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{23}=\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_3\boldsymbol{e}_2-q\boldsymbol{e}_2\boldsymbol{e}_3}{[1]}\;,\quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{123}=\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{23}\boldsymbol{e}_1-q\boldsymbol{e}_1\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{23}}{[1]}\;. \tag{22}$$

The decomposition matrix $T_{m_1,\dots,m_6}^{n_1,\dots,n_6}$ for these bases may be constructed in two alternative ways,

$$T_{12\dots6}^{(1)}=\mathtt{r}_{123}\mathtt{r}_{145}\mathtt{r}_{246}\mathtt{r}_{356}\quad\text{and}\quad T_{12\dots6}^{(2)}=\mathtt{r}_{356}\mathtt{r}_{246}\mathtt{r}_{145}\mathtt{r}_{123}\;. \tag{23}$$

Due to the uniqueness of the decomposition, $T_{12\dots6}^{(1)}=T_{12\dots6}^{(2)}$, what is the tetrahedron equation.

"Coherent" states. The result of equivalence of (2) and (14) may be written in a remarkable simple form in terms of "coherent states". Let

$$\psi(u)\;=\;\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{u^n}{[n]!}\;=\;\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}q^{n(n+1)/2}\frac{u^n}{(q^2;q^2)_n}\;,\quad \psi(u/q)-\psi(qu)=u\psi(u)\;. \tag{24}$$

Then (2) and (14) may be combined together into the basis-invariant form in $\mathscr{A}^{\otimes 3}\otimes\mathscr{B}_2$:

$$\mathtt{r}_{123}\cdot\psi(\boldsymbol{e}_2\boldsymbol{a}_3^+)\psi(\boldsymbol{e}_{12}\boldsymbol{a}_2^+)\psi(\boldsymbol{e}_1\boldsymbol{a}_1^+)|0\rangle\;=\;\psi(\boldsymbol{e}_1\boldsymbol{a}_1^+)\psi(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{12}\boldsymbol{a}_2^+)\psi(\boldsymbol{e}_2\boldsymbol{a}_3^+)|0\rangle\;, \tag{25}$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the total Fock vacuum for $\mathscr{A}^{\otimes 3}$, $\mathtt{r}_{123}$ is the q-oscillator R-matrix of (2), and the auxiliary coefficients $\boldsymbol{e}_1,\boldsymbol{e}_2\in\mathscr{B}_2$. Presumably, relation (25) is the master equation for three-dimensional variant of the vertex-IRF duality.

REFERENCES

[1] V. V. Bazhanov and S. M. Sergeev, "Zamolodchikov's tetrahedron equation and hidden structure of quantum groups", *J. Phys. A* **39** (2006) 3295–3310

[2] G. Lusztig, "Introduction to quantum groups", volume 110 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993

DEPARTMENT OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS & DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA ACT 0200, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `sergey.sergeev@anu.edu.au`